

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4018 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-82 Year-2025 Thana- BALTHAR District- West Champaran

1. Sadhu @ Pradeep Paswan @ Manjeet Kumar son of Ramji Paswan Resident of Village- Purushottampur, Ward No.12, Gauripur, Police Station -Purushottampur, District -West Champaran
2. Murad Alam Son of Late Bismillah Miyan Resident of Village- Purushottampur, Ward No.12, Gauripur, Police Station -Purushottampur, District -West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pratik, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Jha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEEN KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 06-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioners have preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Balthar P.S. Case No. 82 of 2025 dated 12.07.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1) and 61(2) of B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant alleged that her younger son was a driver of Shivdani bus. When his bus caught malfunctioned, he went to his matrimonial home to meet his wife. It is alleged that on 06.07.2025 at about 07:00 P.M., her son received a telephonic call and thereafter he left the house telling his wife that he is going to Purushottampur and



would return by 9 O'clock, but he did not return. When his wife called him, firstly the phone came busy and thereafter the phone became switched off. It is further alleged that on 07.07.2025 in the morning at around 7 O'clock, a call was received that a dead body of her son was lying near Bhawra Dhala. Thereafter, the informant and her family members went to that place and saw the dead body. Thereafter, the dead body was taken to post-mortem, by seeing the dead body it appeared that her son has been inflicted with several knife blows on face, neck and his forelimbs were also fractured.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that there is no eye-witness to the alleged occurrence of murder and there are no circumstances to connect the petitioners in the alleged occurrence. It has been further submitted that the name of the petitioners have transpired on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused Rohit Kumar before police while in police custody and the same is not admissible under Section 23 B.S.A. Moreover, the petitioners are in custody since 02.10.2025 having no criminal antecedent. It has further been submitted that the charge-sheet has been filed and there is no allegation of tampering, although a compromise petition was filed in the court below upon wrong advice and the offence is



not compoundable in nature. Moreover, the compromise petition was not filed at the initial stage of investigation.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and nature of materials collected during the course of investigation against the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, West Champaran, Bettiah, in connection with Balthar P.S. Case No. 82 of 2025.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Praveen Kumar, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

