

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5810 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-110 Year-2025 Thana- PURUSHOTTAMPUR District- West
Champaran

=====

Pappu Mahto son of Dinanath Mahto Resident Of Village- Madhuri Ps-
Purushottampur District- West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bimlesh Kumar Pandey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ganesh Prasad Singh, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 28-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Purushottampur P.S. Case No. 110 of 2025 instituted for the
offences under Sections 191(1), 191(2), 109(1), 115(2), 303(2),
352, 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that on 30.08.2025, the
petitioner Pappu Mahto along with named and unknown
accused, armed with deadly weapons, intercepted the informant
and his family, robbed ₹1,50,000/- at gunpoint, and assaulted
the informant, his father, and brother, causing bleeding injuries
and fracture.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case.
Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that general and



omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. No specific overt act is alleged against the petitioner. Learned counsel further submitted that as per the injury report as discussed in the impugned order, no corroborative injury report has been sustained by the injured. He further submitted that the injuries are simple in nature. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 22.10.2025 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Purushottampur P.S. Case No. 110 of 2025.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

