

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**

**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6069 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-777 Year-2025 Thana- SIWAN MUFFASIL District- Siwan

Gajendra Singh, aged about 40 years, Son of Khalifa Singh @ Kamleshwar Singh Resident of Village - Sarsar, P.S. - Siwan Muffasil, District - Siwan.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. XXX Son of Ram Pravesh Singh R/o Village - Sarsar, P.S. - Siswan(M), Dist. - Siwan.

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arbind Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rabindra Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH**

**ORAL ORDER**

4      15-04-2026                      Heard Mr. Arbind Kumar Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Rabindra Kumar, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection with Siwan Muffasil P.S. Case No. 777/2025 registered for the offence(s) punishable under Sections 126(2),115(2),74,351(2) of the BNS and Section 8 of the POCSO Act.

3. As per the allegations made in the FIR, the petitioner is said to have entered the informant's house and



thereafter attempted to commit wrong with the informant's minor daughter.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case due to land dispute and previous enmity. Learned counsel further submitted that a joint compromise petition has been filed before the learned District Court.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail and submitted that the victim has supported the allegation made in the FIR in her statement recorded under Section 183 of BNSS and as such, the petitioner, who is a mature person, don't deserve to be released on pre-arrest bail.

6. Considering the nature of allegation made against the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

7. However, considering the fact that a compromise petition has been filed before the learned District Court, the learned District Court may proceed to consider the said compromise petition in the background of the statement made on behalf of the petitioner that due to land dispute and previous



enmity, the petitioner has been roped in the present case.

8. The bail application, accordingly, stands disposed  
of.

**(Purnendu Singh, J)**

Sanjay/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

