

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1247 of 2026

=====

Jyoti Kumari Daughter of Bhuneshwar Singh, Resident of Village Ward No. 5, Street No. 11F, Mohalla-Santoshi Maa Path, Gaurakshani Sasaram, P.S. Sasaram (T), District-Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Secondary Education, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Programme Officer, Rohtas at Sasaram.
5. The District Education Office, Rohtas at Sasaram.
6. The Block Education Officer, Shivsagar, Rohtas.
7. The Headmaster, Primary School Chhotaki Chenari, Shivsagar, District-Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajesh Kumar Singh, Adv
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vikramadit, AC to SC-22 SC-22

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 29-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondent-State.

2. With the consent of both the parties, this writ
application is being disposed of at this stage itself.

3. In the present writ application, the petitioner has
prayed for the grant of following reliefs:

*“For issuance of writ/writs,
direction/directions to the Respondents to
pay the current salary as well as arrears of
salary since 18 June 2015 to December 2025*



(Total amount Rs. 33,89,607/- out of which paid only Rs. 4,05,000/-) dues amount 29,84,607 rupees without any reason payment was withheld, whereas the petitioner is regularly working as teacher.”

4. After advancing some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for seeking the aforesaid relief, he has given several representations to various authorities but till date, the amount due to the petitioner has not been paid. He, however, submits that he is once again prepared to file a fresh representation before the Block Education Officer, Shivsagar, Rohtas within one month from today and prays that an appropriate direction be given to the Block Education Officer, Shivsagar, Rohtas to dispose of the representation filed by the petitioner within a fixed time frame, after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

5. To the aforesaid prayer being made by learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel appearing for respondent-State does not have any objection.

6. Considering the limited nature of prayer being made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this writ application is disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh representation before the Block Education Officer, Shivsagar,



Rohtas within one month from today and if such a representation is filed within the stipulated time then the Block Education Officer, Shivsagar, Rohtas is directed to dispose of the same within a further period of three months from the date of receipt of the representation, after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. Needless to emphasize that the final order which shall be passed by the Block Education Officer, Shivsagar, Rohtas should be a reasoned and speaking order. It is made clear that if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief which the petitioner would be claiming through the representation, the same should be given to the petitioner within a further period of three months from the date of passing of the final order.

7. With the aforesaid observation/direction and liberty granted, this writ application is disposed of. All pending interlocutory application(s), if any, shall also be deemed to have been disposed of.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

kiran/-

U			
---	--	--	--

