

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3407 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-279 Year-2024 Thana- KHAIRA District- Saran

=====

Pintu Sah @ Pintu Kumar S/o- Late Kanhaiya Sah R/v- Khodaibagh P.S.-
Khaira Dist- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

- 1. The State of Bihar
- 2. Sanaullah @ Md. Sanaullah S/o- Late Md. Mojib Miyan R/v- Khodaibagh
P.S.- Khaira District- Saran

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Mili Kumari, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Dr. Mrityunjaya Kr.Gautam, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

- 4 22-04-2026
1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State. No one appears for the opposite party

no.2.

2. The petitioner in this application prays for bail

apprehending his arrest in connection with Khaira P.S. Case
no.279 of 2024 registered for the offence punishable under
section 137(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 to which
sections 351(2), 140 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita,
section 66 of the I.T. Act and sections 11 and 12 of the POCSO
Act were added subsequently.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states

that his 14 year old daughter had been kidnapped on an earlier



occasion by the four named accused persons including the petitioner herein. She was subsequently released after four days on police pressure. It is further stated that she disclosed that the accused persons had recorded her objectionable photos and videos and threatened that they would make the same viral. She has once again been kidnapped on 14.11.2024 by the accused persons which was seen by the informant. The informant finally states that he apprehends that she may be sold by them.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. No such occurrence as alleged in the FIR has taken place and the petitioner has no concern with the daughter of the informant. It is for this reason that not only the petitioner but his sister, brother and mother had already been made accused. There is no explanation whatsoever for the delay of ten days in lodging of the FIR. In fact, the daughter of the informant was in the habit of leaving her house but used to return back after some days. The allegations levelled against the petitioner are all false and concocted. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and



taking into consideration the allegations against the petitioner in the FIR according to which the petitioner and others were seen kidnapping the daughter of the informant together with the victim not having been recovered yet, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail and the application is rejected.

7. The petitioner is directed to surrender in the learned Court below within a period of four weeks.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Saurabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

