

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.172 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-377 Year-2025 Thana- BACHHWARA District- Begusarai

Murari Kumar Son of Manoj Kumar @ Manoj Kumar Sah Resident of village- Kadrabad, Ward no. 03, ps- Bachhwara @ Bahhwara, Dist- Begusarai,

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Parmanand Paswan son of Late Yogi Paswan Resident of village- Kadrabad, Ward no. 03, ps- Bachhwara @ Bahhwara, Dist- Begusarai,

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr. Arvind Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Ms. Usha Kumari 1, SPP
For the Responder No.2	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar Yadav, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 18-06-2026 Heard Mr. Arvind Kumar, learned counsel for the appellant, Mr. Sunil Kumar Yadav, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondent No. 2 as well as Ms. Usha Kumari 1, learned Spl.P.P. for the State.

2. This is an appeal under Sections 14(A)(2) against refusal of the prayer for regular bail by order dated 15.10.2025 passed by the learned Court of Exclusive Judge SC/ST, (POA) Act, Begusarai in connection with Bachhwara @ Bahhwara P.S. Case No. 377 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 11.10.2025 registered under Sections 103(2) and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023, Section 27 of the Arms Act and Sections 3(2)(v) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Act (Prevention of Atrocities) Act.



3. According to the prosecution case, the informant found the dead body of his son covered by gunshot injury on the back side of his head. Hence, he suspects and some unknown miscreants have killed his son due to some enmity.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that appellant has clean antecedent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. Initially the appellant was not named in the FIR and his name has been transpired during investigation on the basis of self confessional statement of the appellant. Apart from that other accused persons have also confessed that the appellant was involved in the present crime in question. Except the confessional statement of the appellant as well as other accused persons, nothing has come during investigation which suggest the involvement of the appellant in the present occurrence.

5. Learned Special Public Prosecutor for the State as well as learned counsel for the Respondent No. 2 on the basis of material available on record and the case diary have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant and submits that the appellant has confessed his guilt in the present occurrence and apart from that, other accused persons have also stated that the appellant was involved in the present crime in question. Apart



from that, arms which were used in the present crime have been recovered from the house of the co-accused persons.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to enlarge the appellant on bail in connection with Bachhwara @ Bahhwara P.S. Case No. 377 of 2025 pending in the Court of learned Exclusive Special Judge, SC/ST (POA) Act, Begusarai.

7. Accordingly, the impugned order is affirmed and this appeal stands dismissed.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

