

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.319 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-13 Year-2021 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE District- Lakhisarai

Ravi Ranjan Kumar @ Ravi Ranjan Singh @ Tantan Singh Son of Mohan Singh Resident of Village - Ramchandrapur, Police Station - Piparia, District - Lakhisarai

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Manoj Ram Son of Sakeldev Ram Resident of Village - Ghatkhusbha, P.S. - Korma, District - Sheikhpura

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr.Mukesh Kumar, Adv
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Sadanand Paswan, Spl. PP Mr. Pankaj Kumar, Adv

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 03-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the appellant, learned Spl. P.P. for the State, Sri Sadanand Paswan, and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant.

2. This is an appeal under Section 14-A(2) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (hereinafter referred to as the “SC/ST Act”) against the refusal of prayer for anticipatory bail vide order dated 26-9-2024 in A.B.P. No. 1068 of 2024 passed by the learned 1st Additional District and Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge S.C./S.T. (POA) Act, Lakhisarai in connection with Complaint Case No. 13C of 2021 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 379, 504, 506 and 34 of the



Indian Penal Code as well as Sections 3(i)(r)(s) of the SC/ST Act.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant submits appellant has antecedent of two cases and informant alleges that a mini van was sanctioned to him under CM Transportation Scheme and he had taken the vehicle for servicing to Mahindra showroom when owner of the showroom asked the complainant to stay for an hour and thereafter called 4-6 unknown accused and assaulted and abused the complainant by taking caste name and snatched key of the vehicle along with Rs. 5,000/-.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the complainant. It is next submitted that police after threadbare investigation came to a considered conclusion that appellant is innocent and thus submitted final form exonerating the appellant of the allegation and the same was accepted by the learned trial court, but based on protest, cognizance came to be taken.

5. At this stage, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant submits that he has instruction not to oppose the appeal.

6. Since the complainant does not want to oppose the appeal, as such let the appellant above-named, in the event of



his arrest or surrender before the learned trial trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with the aforesaid case, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. Accordingly, the impugned order is set aside and the appeal stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

