

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4879 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-96 Year-2025 Thana- Nehra District- Darbhanga

Subhkant Jha @ Subhkant Murlidhar Jha Son of Late Murlidhar Jha R/o Village - Raghopur, P.S.- Nehra, District - Darbhanga at present residing at Room No.32, Jay Bharat Rahivashi Sangh, Akurti Road, Vadar Pada, Near Western Express Highway, Hanuman Nagar, P.S.- Kandivali East, District-Suburban Mumbai, Maharashtra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Umesh Kumar Verma, Advocate
		Mr. Nagendra Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Nirmal Kumar Sinha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 03-02-2026 Heard Mr. Umesh Kumar Verma, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Nirmal Kumar Sinha, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Nehra P.S. Case No. 96 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 108, 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution in short is that the petitioner who is the grandfather of the informant. His father and uncle have subjected her mother to cruelty, due to which she has committed suicide.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has



committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that from perusal of the FIR, it is clear that the occurrence is of 06.06.2025, whereas the FIR was lodged on 05.07.2025. There is a delay of one month, and the delay is not explained. From perusal of the FIR, it is clear that the petitioner was living in Mumbai, whereas the deceased and the informant were living in the Babu Dih district of Dhanbad in Jharkhand. He also submits that two words have been found in the FIR, i.e., "physical" and "mental cruelty," but no act of physical or mental cruelty is described. He further submits that it is a case of suicide and the petitioner is the father-in-law of the deceased. Moreover, a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 06.09.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties



of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned
A.C.J.M.-VI, Darbhanga in connection with Nehra P.S. Case
No. 96 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

