

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1451 of 2026

Govind Kumar Yadav Contractor office at Ward no.11, Bhagwanpur Tola, Madhepura, Police Station- Madhepura, District- Madhepura through its proprietor Govind Kumar Yadav (Male), aged about 35 years, Son of late Mukti Lal Yadav, resident of Ward no.11, Sahugarh- 2 Bhagwanpur Tola, Ward no.11, Madhepura, Police Station-Madhepura, District- Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Additional Chief Secretary, Building Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Building Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Madhepura.
4. The Engineer-in-Chief, Building Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Chief Engineer, Building Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. The Superintending Engineer, Building Division, Madhepura, Building Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
7. The Executive Engineer, Building Division, Madhepura, Building Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pravashankar Mishra, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Government Pleader (06)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE GIRIJISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

6 24-07-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

2. With the consent of both the parties, this writ application is being disposed of at the admission stage itself.

3. In the present writ application, the petitioner has prayed for grant of following reliefs:-

“i. To issue a Writ in nature of Mandamus



commanding the respondents to pay Rs.1,07,466/- (Rs. One Lac Seven Thousand Four Hundred Sixty Six) expeditiously along with applicable rate of interest.

ii. To any other relief or reliefs to which the petitioner is entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

4. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner referring to paragraph-7 of the counter affidavit filed by the respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 4 to 7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents have admitted the due amount of the petitioner and *vide demand allotment* letter No. 978 dated 01.07.2023 and letter No. 369 dated 04.05.2026 and in this regard a request has been made to the District Magistrate, Madhepura, for allotment of fund payment of Rs. 1,07,466/- to the petitioner. It has been categorically stated that once the payment is received, the same will be paid to the petitioner. He further contends that in terms of statement made in paragraph-7 of the counter affidavit, the respondent no.7 i.e. Executive Engineer, Building Division, Madhepura, may be directed to pay the admitted amount, as aforesaid, to the petitioner within a reasonable time frame.

5. In such view of the matter and the categorical stand of the respondents made in paragraph-7 of the counter affidavit, this court deems it fit and appropriate to dispose of



this writ application with a direction to the respondent no.7 i.e Executive Engineer, Building Division, Madhepura, to pay the admissible dues of the petitioner within a period of three months from today.

6. Accordingly, with the aforesaid observation/direction, this writ application is disposed of.

7. All pending interlocutory application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of.

8. Needless to say that if the admissible dues of the petitioner shall not be paid within the stipulated period as aforesaid, the petitioner would be at liberty to file appropriate application in accordance with law.

(Girijish Kumar, J)

nitu/-

U			
---	--	--	--

