

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4816 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-411 Year-2025 Thana- JANDAHA District- Vaishali

Chandan Kumar S/O Ram Babu Chaudhary R/O Village- Dih Buchauli, PS
Jandaha (Mahisaur), Dist.- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pradeep Narain Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 02-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. Petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered
for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of Bihar
Prohibition and Excise Act, 2018.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of
recovery of 10 litres of liquor from house of the petitioner and
16 litres of liquor from a place behind the house of Arvind Rai.
It is next submitted that petitioner was not arrested from the
spot, as such, nothing was recovered from his conscious
possession and the house in question is a joint family property,
as such, it cannot be alleged with certainty that it was petitioner
who had kept the liquor in the house or the liquor kept in the



house was within his knowledge and after amendment in the Excise Act in the year 2018, the concept of deemed possession and presumed offender has been done away with and he came to be implicated at the instance of local Chowkidar and he has no connection or relation with Arvind Rai. It is also submitted that since he is on an inimical term with Chowkidar, as such, the Chowkidar implicated him. It is next submitted that if Chowkidar was aware of the involvement of the petitioner in the occurrence then why he did not inform the police prior to institution of the instant FIR, which casts an aspersion on the case of the prosecution, when petitioner admittedly is a person with clean antecedent.

4. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on provisional anticipatory bail on his furnishing bail-bond in the sum of Rs.500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Exclusive Special Excise Court No.1-cum-District & Additional Sessions Judge,



Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Jandaha (Mahisaur) P.S.
Case No.411 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under
Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

6. The application stands allowed.

7. It is made clear that the learned trial Court after accepting the provisional bail bond of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case, then it would be presumed that petitioner for the purposes of obtaining anticipatory bail had concealed his antecedent before this Court, in that event, the present provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but if on verification, it is found that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, in that event, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

8. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner, based on instruction, submits that petitioner undertakes to deposit an amount of Rs.2500/- with High Court Legal Services Committee, Patna within a period of two weeks from today.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

