

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5028 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-78 Year-2025 Thana- ARIYARI District- Sheikhpura

=====

Mahendra Chouhan @ Mahendra Chauhan S/o- Ram Briksh Chauhan @
Rambriksh Chouhan @ Ram Brich Chouhan R/v- Chawaniya Ps- Ariyari
Dist- Sheikhpura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dinkar Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anand Kishore Choudhary

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 22-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1),
117(2), 109, 352, 351(2), 303(2) and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of one case and is in custody since
01.12.2025. It is next submitted that petitioner petitioner had
earlier moved before this Court seeking anticipatory bail by
filing Cr. Misc. No.66913/2025 but the same was permitted to
be withdrawn by an order dated 19.11.2025. It is next submitted
that thereafter the petitioner surrendered on 01.12.2025. It is
further submitted that informant alleges that on 01.06.2025, he



was going to get medicine for the cattle, when he was intercepted by the accused persons including the petitioner and the accused assaulted him brutally and thinking he is dead threw him in a pond. It is next alleged that on account of assault, the informant suffered injury on his right leg, both hand, shoulder and Pasli (chest), further the occurrence was committed as he refused to pay extortion of Rs.2 lacs.

4. Learned counsel submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that informant is a young boy aged 19 years as such it does not appear probable that from a young boy of 19 years of age, the accused persons including the petitioner would have demanded extortion of Rs.2 lacs when he has no source of income. It is next submitted that informant is a habitual drinker and used to act inappropriately with the women member of the family of the petitioner, thus an altercation took place, in which both side assaulted each other. It is next submitted that no doubt informant suffered nine injuries but then the injuries were simple in nature except injury no.1 and 8 as opinion with regard to the same was reserved and is reserved till date. It is also submitted that if privilege of regular bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate



in the trial to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and also taking into consideration the fact that petitioner after withdrawing his anticipatory bail application surrendered before the learned trial court, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Ariyari P.S. Case No.78 of 2025.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

