

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7891 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-537 Year-2025 Thana- MASAUDHI District- Patna

Haider Ali Son of Muzaffar Alam @ Sukhu Resident of village- Sonkukra,  
Sonkukra, PS- Masaurhi, Dist- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                              |
|--------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr. Jay Karn, Advocate       |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr. Choubey Jawahar, A.P.P.  |
| For the Informant        | : | Mr. Jitendra Kumar, Advocate |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      16-02-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, informant  
and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with  
Masaurhi P. S. Case No. 537 of 2025 registered for the offences  
punishable under Sections 103(1), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya  
Sanhita and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution case, during cricket match, a  
clash occurred between two groups due to decision of umpire  
wherein it is alleged that the accused persons assaulted husband  
of the informant and others. Co-accused Rohit Patel and Sukesh  
fired on the chest of the husband of informant and and this  
petitioner and co-accused Roshan Kumar are alleged to have  
fired in the air. The villagers took the husband of the informant



to the hospital where the doctor declared him dead.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is specific case of the informant that co-accused Rohit Patel and Sukesh fired upon the husband of informant as a result of which, he died. There is no allegation of any specific overt act against the petitioner. Charge-sheet has already been submitted. Petitioner is in custody since 15.07.2025.

5. However, learned A.P.P. for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the bail application.

6. Considering the nature of accusation, period of custody and the fact that charge-sheet has been submitted, this bail application is allowed. The petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup>, Masaurhi in connection with Masaurhi P. S. Case No. 537 of 2025.

**(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)**

Navya/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

