

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2934 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-289 Year-2025 Thana- ALOULI District- Khagaria

Lalan Yadav @ Lalan Kumar S/o Larantu Yadav Resident of Village - Alauli,
P.S - Alauli, District - Khagaria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amar Kumar Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 20-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Alauli P.S. Case No. 289 of 2025 dated 29.06.2025 registered for the offence punishable under Section/s 309(4) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the accusation against the petitioner is that he along with other accused persons, hit the Informant's motorcycle deliberately due to which the Informant fell down whereafter the petitioner snatched a bag from him containing Rs. 4,40,000/- and fled away from there.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner by referring to the contents of the F.I.R. submits that as per allegation, the Informant was carrying Rs. 4,40,000/- and description, which is



given in the bottom of such information on calculation, only comes out to Rs. 3,80,000/- and *prima facie* the allegation appears to be false. It is next submitted that in terms of the restriction to carry hard cash, the Informant could not have carried such a huge amount with him.

5. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that the only allegation against the petitioner is of running away with the amount, in question which from the F.I.R. itself gets disputed. Lastly, it is submitted that one of the co-accused Raja Kumar @ Raja Paswan, against whom the similar allegation was leveled, has already been allowed regular bail by the district court itself.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail.

7. Considering the nature of allegation and co-accused having been extended the benefit of regular bail by the district court itself and the petitioner having three criminal antecedents in which he is already on bail, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

8. Let the petitioner, above named, be released on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from today on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (rupees ten thousand) with



two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Khagaria in connection with Alauli P.S. Case No. 289 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S. with a further condition that in future if similar allegation is leveled against the petitioner and on investigation, if the allegations are found to be *prima facie* proved then the police will be at liberty to take recourse for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

