

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2730 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-573 Year-2025 Thana- GHOSI District- Jehanabad

Chanchala Kumari, W/o Chandrashekhar Kumar Pandey R/o Village -
Tetariya, P.S - Pali, District - Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satyendra Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 04-02-2026 Heard learned Advocate for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in connection with Ghosi P.S. Case No. 573 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120(B) of the IPC.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is of procuring the appointment on the post of Niyojit Teacher based upon forged and fabricated Intermediate and CPED certificate.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the order of this Court passed in C.W.J.C. No. 15459 of 2014, an enquiry was conducted and the certificates duly submitted by the petitioner at the time of appointment has been declared to be forged and fabricated. In fact, the petitioner is



herself a victim of circumstances. Being a lady she took admission in Senior Secondary Examination (10+2) and Certificate of Physical Education under Distance Education Programs in Nababharat Siksha Parishad (N.S.P.), India through its affiliated College, namely, Mundrika Devi Damodar Mishra College, Sitamarhi, Bihar. Having pursued the study, the petitioner received the certificate and at the time of appointment the same was duly verified and at no point of time objection has been raised. It is further contended that from the FIR, it is evident that the name of the institution from where the petitioner has obtained certificate, is figured in the list of unrecognized institution, but the said notice has been issued on 25.08.2022 whereas the petitioner obtained the certificate in the year 2007-08. This fact has also not been considered by the investigating officer. It is lastly submitted that petitioner is a woman having fair antecedent, now terminated from her services.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State vehemently opposed the bail application and submits that petitioner has procured the appointment by committing fraud upon Government Authorities.

6. Having considered the submissions set forth by the learned Advocate for the respective parties and taking note of the fact that the petitioner is woman having fair antecedent, has



already been terminated from service, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail, in the event of her arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Jehanabad in connection with Ghosi P.S. Case No. 573 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS, with with further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.

(Harish Kumar, J)

khushbu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

