

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3650 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-354 Year-2023 Thana- SIKANDRA District- Jamui

Ravindra Mishra, S/o Late Ramotar Mishra, R/o Vill.- Lahila, P.S.- Sikandra,
District - Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sanjiv Kumar Mishra, Sr. Adv. Mr. Pramod Kumar, Adv. Mr. Anil Kumar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Raj Ballabh Singh, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Sudhanshu Trivedi, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KHATIM REZA
ORAL ORDER

3 24-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Sikandara P.S. Case No. 354 of 2023 dated 20.10.2023
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 147, 148,
149, 380, 302, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. This is second attempt for grant of regular bail
application to the petitioner.

4. Earlier, *vide* order dated 09.08.2024 passed in
Criminal Miscellaneous No. 51522 of 2024, the petitioner's bail
application was rejected by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court.

5. The prosecution case, in brief, is that the informant
alleged that on the date of occurrence all the accused persons
named in the F.I.R. including this petitioner came at her house
and accused Ravindra Mishra (petitioner) assaulted her father



Devendra Mishra on his head with rod and killed him. Thereafter, co-accused, namely, Satyendra Mishra and Dilip Jha assaulted informant's mother Mira Devi on her head inside the house and other accused persons also assaulted her mother due to which her mother also succumbed to her injuries.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. It is submitted that the petitioner, who is full brother of the deceased Devendra Mishra, has been falsely implicated in this case due to land dispute. It is further submitted that the informant is not an eye witness and she was in Delhi at the time of incident. The petitioner is in custody since 21.10.2023, having no criminal antecedent and charge-sheet has been submitted in the case.

7. Learned A.P.P for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submitted that there is direct and specific allegation against the petitioner and four witnesses have been examined during the trial, who have supported the case of the prosecution. It is further submitted that the petitioner alongwith other accused persons has brutally assaulted the deceased persons. The petitioner is said to have assaulted the father of the



informant on his head with rod due to which he died.

8. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and submissions of learned counsel for the parties, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

9. Accordingly, the prayer of the petitioner for grant of bail is **rejected**.

10. The learned Trial Court is directed to take all endeavour to conclude the trial at the earliest, preferably, within two months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order without granting any unnecessary adjournment.

11. The application stands dismissed.

(Khatim Reza, J)

prabhat/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

