

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.625 of 2026

Arti Kumari Daughter of Surendra Kumar Sinha, resident of Village-
Imaliyachak, Post- Saidabad, P.S.- Jehanabad, District- Jehanabad, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, Bihar, Patna.
2. Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Chairman, Bihar School Examination Board, Buddh Marg, Lodipur, Patna, Bihar- 800001.
4. The Secretary, Bihar School Examination Board, Buddh Marg, Lodipur, Patna, Bihar- 800001.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Mrityunjay Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. K.N. Jha, AC to GP11
For the BSEB	:	Mr. Satyabir Bharti, Sr. Adv.
		Mr. Abhishek Anand, Adv.
		Ms. Kanu Priya, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 19-01-2026 The instant writ application has been filed for the
following relief:-

*“1. That this present writ application has
been filed before this Hon’ble Court invoking its writ
jurisdiction for following reliefs:-*

*a) For issuance of a writ of Mandamus or
any other appropriate writ/writs, order/orders, or
direction/directions to the Respondent Board to
consider the submission of the Objection Form raised
by the Petitioner through Official Portal in Secondary*



Teacher Eligibility Test (STET)- 2025 conducted by Bihar School Examination Board (BSEB).

b) For a direction to Respondent Board to consider the Objection and rectify the anomalies in the evaluation process of the said test.

c) For a direction to Respondent Board to provide Revised Answer Key of the Petitioner after considering her Objection Form.

d) For further pass any other order/orders in shape of consequential relief to the Petitioner may be found to legally entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the instant case.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite this petitioner having given authoritative answers to the questions, still she has secured 59 marks and, whereas, the objections with respect to nine questions with authoritative answer have already been provided still, such objections have not been adjudicated.

3. It is next submitted that even the principles of normalization, which also forms part of the advertisement no. PR 218/2025, appears not to have been invoked in the case of the petitioner.

4. On the other hand, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the Bihar School Examination Board, submits that since the appropriate representation with objections have



already been filed, the petitioner may be directed to file a detailed representation in the light of the order passed by this Court and, on filing of such representation within the time so fixed, the same shall be adjudicated and necessary order shall be passed and, if the petitioner is found eligible then further action shall be taken. Learned counsel for the B.S.E.B. further submits that the experts have already been employed for adjudicating the objections and once such objections are there, the same shall be adjudicated.

5. Considering the submissions of the parties, this Court is of the view that instead of keeping this matter pending, the petitioner be directed to file representation with all such details for adjudication within a period of one week, referring to her earlier representation, which is said to have been filed and, even the issues of normalization in terms of the advertisement may also be raised, if the same has not been raised for consideration by the authorities concerned.

6. On filing such representation, the representation so filed by the petitioner, shall be adjudicated within a period of two weeks from the date of filing of such representation by the petitioner.

7. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the



writ application stands disposed of.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

rishi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

