

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7806 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-92 Year-2024 Thana- PHULPARAS District- Madhubani

1. Nitish Kumar S/O Rasiklal Yadav R/O Village- Kisnipatti, P.S.- Phulparas, District- Madhubani
2. Niraj Kumar @ Neeraj Kumar S/O Pramod Kumar Yadav R/O Village- Ward no. 6, Kisnipatti, P.S.- Phulparas, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Jitendra Kumar Bharti, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Vinod Shanker Modi, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 20-02-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 323, 307, 354, 504 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code. of the B.N.S..

3. As per prosecution case, it is alleged that some unknown persons kidnapped the daughter of informant.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioners are not named in the F.I.R. and have falsely been implicated in this case due to village politics. Petitioners claim clean antecedents.



5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners and submitted that during investigation, the victim was recovered and in her statement recorded under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C., she has named these petitioners and has categorically stated that they were involved in her abduction.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, gravity of offence and statement of the victim recorded under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C., the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

