

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3765 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-462 Year-2021 Thana- RUNISAIDPUR District- Sitamarhi

Amit Kumar @ Amit son of Bishwanath Ray @ Vishwanath Ray Resident of
Village- Muksudpur @ Maksudpur, Ps- Rampurhari, Dist- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajit Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 30-01-2026 Heard Mr. Arvind Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Ajit Kumar, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
05.08.2025 in connection with Runnisaidpur P.S. Case No. 462
of 2021, F.I.R. dated 17.08.2021 for the offences punishable
under Sections 395/397 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. According to prosecution case, petitioner and other
co-accused person assaulted the informant with butt of pistol on
his head and snatched Rs. 52,000/- and a mobile from him.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the
present case. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner
is not named in the F.I.R. and the name of the petitioner has



been transpired during investigation. The petitioner has been identified by the informant by showing photograph of the petitioner. Learned counsel further submits that nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner and till date no TIP has been conducted by the prosecution and the police after investigation, submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 05.08.2025

5. Learned APP for the State have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries three more cases other than the present one.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and also the fact that till date no TIP has been conducted by the prosecution against the petitioner and the period of custody of the petitioner, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sessions Judge, Sitamarhi in connection with Runnisaidpur P.S. Case No. 462 of 2021, with the following conditions:

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and



on her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ranjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

