

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6722 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-56 Year-2025 Thana- DHANGAI District- Bhojpur

Kumar Gaurav Roy son of Sri Ram Ishwar Singh Resident Of village-
Kusumha, Ps- Aayar, Dist- Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prabhat Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satya Nand Shukla, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 04-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Dhangai P.S. Case No.56 of 2025, F.I.R dated 04.09.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120B of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution story, an FIR was lodged by informant Arun Paswan pursuant to an order passed in C.W.J.C. No. 15459 of 2014, following which the Bihar State Vigilance Department initiated Vigilance Inquiry No. B.S. 8 of 2015. During the inquiry into educational certificates, it was found that the petitioner, Kumar Gaurav Ray, had been appointed as a teacher without registration under the amnesty scheme.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on



the basis of inquiry which is said to have been conducted unilaterally, the F.I.R. is said to have been lodged without giving any opportunity of hearing and the allegations which are levelled against the petitioner are false and fabricated. It has further been submitted that the petitioner has clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances that inquiry was conducted behind the back of the petitioner and no opportunity of hearing was ever given to him to explain his side and save and except suspicion, there is nothing incriminating against the petitioner. Accordingly, this Court is inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his/her arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M. VII, Bhojpur at Ara, in connection with Dhangai P.S. Case No.56 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of



Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/relative of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

sharun/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

