

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3786 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-3 Year-2025 Thana- Lakho District- Begusarai

Pintu Kumar @ Bhulla Son of Mantun Singh Resident of Village- Lakho,
P.S.- Lakho, District- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Perna Anand, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Satyendra Prasad, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Braj Bhushan Poddar, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 11-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel
for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Sessions
Trial No. 121 of 2025 arising out of Lakho P.S. Case No. 3 of
2025 instituted for the offences under Sections 126(2), 115(2),
76, 64(1), 303(2), 109(1), 352, 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya
Sanhita, 2023.

3. Earlier vide order dated 05.08.2025 passed in Cr.
Misc. No. 27793 of 2025 regular bail of the petitioner was
rejected by this Court considering the nature of accusation and
gravity of offence.

4. In compliance of the order dated 28.01.2026 a report
dated 07.02.2026 with regard to the present stage of trial has



been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that charges have been framed on 20.05.2025 and two witnesses out of total six witnesses have already been examined.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 04.01.2025 without any rhymes or reason.

6. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail. Learned APP for the State relied upon a decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court reported in **2024 SCC OnLine SC 3539 (X. vs. State of Rajasthan & Anr.)**, wherein in paragraph no. 14, the Hon'ble Apex Court has held as under:

“14. Ordinarily in serious offences like rape, murder, dacoity, etc., once the trial commences and the prosecution starts examining its witnesses, the Court, be it the Trial Court or the High Court should be loath in entertaining the bail application of the accused.”

7. There is no fresh ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner. From the aforesaid report, it appears that the trial is going on and is at an advance stage.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the



petitioner is again rejected with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously preferably within a period of four months from today. If the trial is not concluded within the period of four months, as stated above, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer before the court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

