

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3956 of 2018

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18294 of 2017

=====

M/s Vinay Construction Through its working parter Mr. Ram Naresh Singh Yadav, Son of Sri Ram Lakhan Yadav, Resident of Mohalla- Bhaluiya Tan, P.O.- Giriak Puraini, P.S.- Muffasil, District- Nawada, At Present, Resident of Mohalla- Ram Nagar, Near Sankat Mochan, P.O.- Gonawan, Nawada- 805110.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar.
2. Mr. Chaitanya Prasad Principal Secretary, Urban development and Housing Department, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna-15.
3. Mr. Banwari Nandan The Chief Engineer, Bihar Urban Development Agency, Urban Development And Housing Department, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna- 15.
4. Mr. Suresh Kumar Tiwary The Superintendent Engineer, Bihar Urban Development Agency, Urban Development And Housing Department, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna- 15.
5. Mr. Ishwar Chandra Sharma The Municipal Commissioner, Gaya Nagar Nigam, Gaya.
6. Mr. Vinod Kumar The Executive Engineer, District Urban Development Agency, Cum-Nagar Nigam, Gaya.
7. Mr. Vikas Kumar Singh 7HF 9/31, Bahadurpur Housing Colony, Kankarbagh, Patna- 26.
8. M/s. Sagar Construction Lodipur, P.O.- Bargaon, P.S.- Koch, District- Gaya.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Manish Sahay, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Subhash Prasad Singh, GA-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

9 18-06-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:

“that this application is being filed for



initiating a proceeding under provisions of Contempt of Court Act, 1971, against the opposite parties for their willfully disobedience and violation of order/Judgment dated 10.05.2018, passed in C.W.J.C No. 18294 of 2017 by Hon'ble Mr. Vikash Jain, by which, the Hon'ble Court has pleased to quashed the impugned letters dated 28.11.2017 (e. Annexure-P-5 Series of writ application) and allowed the writ application with a direction to the Opposite Parties to proceed with the lottery with respect to Group No.1 among the four successful tenderers who are admittedly all technically eligible and have equally quoted rates in the financial bids and further this Hon'ble Court has pleased to further directed to Opposite Parties to proceed in accordance with law against the tender relates to Group II, in which the petitioner has already been declared L-1. but till today the Opposite Parties have not complied the



*said order dated 10.05.2018 and a
committed gross contempt, so they are liable
for punishment under provision of the
Contempt of Court Act, 1971.*

3. It has been informed by the learned counsel for the
petitioner that he is not interested in pressing the contempt
application and as such be permitted to withdraw the same.

4. Accordingly, the contempt petition is disposed of as
not pressed.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/Shivangi

U			
---	--	--	--

