

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3400 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-850 Year-2025 Thana- MAKHDUMPUR District- Jehanabad

1. Ankit Raj S/o Rakesh Kumar @ Rakesh Sharma R/o - Ner, P.S - Makhdumpur, District - Jehanabad
2. Rakesh Kumar @ Rakesh Sharma S/o Madan Mohan Sharma R/o - Ner, P.S - Makhdumpur, District - Jehanabad
3. Ranjeet Kashyap @ Ranjeet Kumar S/o Shanu Sharma R/o - Ner, P.S - Makhdumpur, District - Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shantanu Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 20-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehends their arrest in connection with Makhdumpur P.S. Case No.850 of 2025 dated 29.10.2025, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. As per the FIR, the petitioners, along with other co-accused persons, abused the informant over a dispute relating to a tower. Upon objection, petitioner no. 3 allegedly ordered that the informant be killed, whereafter all the petitioners assaulted the informant with a knife, causing injuries to him. On



hearing the hue and cry, the informant's brother came to his rescue, but he too was assaulted by the petitioners.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that there is a counter version of the entire incident and that the injuries sustained by the informant and his brother are stated to be simple in nature, with both sides having sustained injuries. He fairly submits that petitioner no. 1 has one criminal antecedent, in which he is on bail, and petitioner nos. 2 and 3 have two criminal antecedents each, and they are also on bail. Lastly, he submits that all these cases have been instituted at the instance of the informant's side against the petitioners.

5. On the other hand, the learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

6. Taking into account that the injuries sustained by the informant and his brother are simple in nature, let the above named petitioners, be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M.-I,



Jehanabad/ Successor Court in connection with Makhdumpur
P.S. Case No.850 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down
under Section 482(2) of the BNSS as well as the following
conditions:

(i) One of the bailors should be the family
member/relative/ known of the petitioner(s) who shall provide
official document/ personal affidavit to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every
date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two
consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail
cancellation of his/her/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or
promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences,
failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for
cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any
criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty
to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

shikha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

