

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2666 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-404 Year-2025 Thana- NAANPUR District- Sitamarhi

1. Dinesh Sahni S/o- Kishori Sahni Resident of Village- Rampur Koriya, P.S.- Nanpur, Dist- Sitamarhi
2. Mukesh Sahni S/o- Fakira Sahni Resident of Village- Rampur Koriya, P.S.- Nanpur, Dist- Sitamarhi
3. Mukesh Sahni @ Manoj Sahni S/o- Jamun Sahni Resident of Village- Rampur Koriya, P.S.- Nanpur, Dist- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Nazir Ansari, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 28-01-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners no. 1 and 2 are persons with clean antecedent and petitioner no. 3 has antecedent of one case and allegation is of recovery of 30 litres of liquor from a place near an orchard.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners were not arrested from the spot, as such, nothing was recovered from their conscious possession and even the alleged



recovery is from a place which does not belong to the petitioners and is accessible to villagers at large. It is further submitted that petitioners came to be implicated at the instance of the Chawkidar but then it is submitted that if the Chawkidar was aware of the involvement of the petitioners in the occurrence then why he did not inform the police prior to the institution of the instant FIR which casts an aspersion on the case of the prosecution.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, let the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Nanpur P.S. Case No. 404 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the BNSS.

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned trial



court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and if it is found that petitioners no. 1 and 2 have antecedent even one case and petitioner no. 3 has antecedent of more than one case, it would be presumed that petitioners had concealed their antecedent before this Court at para 3 of the anticipatory bail application in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed but if it is found on verification that petitioners no. 1 and 2 are persons with clean antecedent and petitioner no. 3 has antecedent of only one case in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

8. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners based on instruction submits that petitioners undertake to deposit an amount of Rs.2,500/- with the Advocate Association of the Patna High Court.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

