

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4232 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-148 Year-2025 Thana- SANJHOLI District- Rohtas

=====

Bikash Kumar Yadav @ Bikash Kumar @ Vikash Kumar Son of Jaymangal Singh, Resident of Village- Bensagar (Khutaha), P.S.- Sanjhauli, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Nagendra Upadhyay, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Binod Kumar, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Dhaneshwar Pd. Gupta, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 28-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the informant and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in connection with Sanjhauli P.S. Case No. 148 of 2025 dated 31.07.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Section 80(2) read with Section 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023 and Sections 3 and 4 of the D.P. Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, on account of non-fulfillment of dowry demand, the daughter of the informant was done to death by the petitioner and other co-accused persons. The petitioner is brother-in-law of the deceased.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. The petitioner lives separately



from the deceased and her husband, and has no concern with the affairs of the deceased or her husband. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is false and concocted. The true fact of the case is that some altercation took place between the deceased and her husband and the deceased committed suicide by hanging herself. Prior to lodging of the FIR, no complaint was made regarding any dowry demand or torturing the deceased. The *post-mortem* report shows that the death was caused due to asphyxia due to hanging and it shows the deceased committed suicide. Even though no cogent material has come up against the petitioner, the police submitted chargesheet against him. Learned counsel next submits that petitioner is having clean antecedent and chargesheet has been submitted. Learned counsel lastly submits that petitioner is in custody since 01.08.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State as well as the learned counsel for the informant oppose the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the informant submits that there is specific allegation against the petitioner and other co-accused persons who used to torture the daughter of the informant and further used to stop her meals and ultimately they killed her on account of non-fulfillment of their demand of dowry.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances and



submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the relationship of the petitioner with the deceased and also considering his period of custody and submission of chargesheet, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-I, Bikramganj, Rohtas / concerned Court, in connection with **Sanjhauli P.S. Case No. 148 of 2025**, subject to the condition laid down under Section 480(3) of the B.N.S.S. and other following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below, if so required by the learned trial Court.

(iii) In case of absence on three consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

