

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3739 of 2018
In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20891 of 2011

=====

Brahmdeo Rajak S/o Late Hazari Rajak, R/o Vill- Bodhi Tola, P.O.- Barahiya,
Distt- Lakhisarai, at present residing at Road No.1, Sarvoday Nagar Danapur,
P.S.- Danapur, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Dr. S. Siddharth, the Principal Secretary, Department of Industries,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Narendra Kumar Sinha, The Director Handloom and Sericulture,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Mrs. Sujata Chaturvedi, The Principal Secretary, Finance Department, Govt.
of Bihar, Patna.
5. Mr. Amir Subhani, The Principal Secretary, General Administration
Department, Government of Bihar,
6. The Screening Committee Assure Career Progression, through its Chairman
Sri Narendra Kumar Sinha, D
7. Smt. Anjali Mehta, Assistant Registrar, Cooperative Societies Handloom,
Rajendra Nagar, Patna.
8. Mr. Manish Kumar, Assistant Registrar, Weavers Cooperative Societies,
Gulzarbagh, Patna.
9. Mr. Alok Kumar, Assistant Director, Sericulture, Patna Division, Patna.
10. Mr. Raghunath Prasad Yadav, Assistant Director, Sericulture, Purnia
Division, Purnia.
11. Mr. Upendra Kumar, Assistant Director, Sericulture, Purnia Division, Purnia.
12. Mr. A.K. Bhattacharya, The Principal, Bihar Institute of Silk and Textile,
Nath Nagar, Bhagalpur.
13. Mr. Ramesh Prasad Sah, Project Officer, Malwari Extension- cum- Training
Centre Prasar Sah Prasiksh

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sushil Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Yogendra Prasad Sinha, AAG- 7



CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 12-03-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief/s:

(i) for initiation of contempt proceeding against the Opposite Parties for their willful and deliberate violation of Judgment and order dt.16.12.13 passed in C.W.J.C.No.20891/2011 whereby and where under the opposite parties were directed to examine the case of the writ petitioner including the petitioner for grant of the benefits of 1st ACP and 2nd ACP Scheme as well as MACP Scheme, especially when the same has been allowed to the persons who entered into the service later to the petitioner.

3. The show cause filed on behalf of the opposite party is on record and the learned State counsel has taken this Court to Annexure-E to show that the order stands complied vide memo no. 1726 dated 11.10.2018.

4. In that background, allowing the petitioner to



agitate the matter, if he has still any grievance, the contempt
petition is disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

