

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4120 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-312 Year-2025 Thana- NARHATT District- Nawada

Sachin Kumar @ Sachin Manjhi (M), aged about 24 Years, S/o Babulal Manjhi, Resident of Village - Pattal Bigha Tola, Barbigha, P.S- Narhat, District- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Mithu Rajvanshi, S/O- Babulal Manjhi R/v- Pattal Bigha, Tola Barbigha, P.S.- Narhat, Distt.- Nawada

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Raj Kumar, Advocate
For the Informant	:	Mr. Birendra Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Rajendra Nath Jha, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 11-05-2026 Heard Mr. Raj Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner; Mr. Birendra Kumar, learned counsel for the informant and Mr. Rajendra Nath Jha, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection with Narhat P.S. Case No. 312 of 2025, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 137(2) and 87 of the BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, the petitioner had allegedly kidnapped the minor daughter of the informant with an intention to marry her.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely



been implicated in the present case. The victim in her statement recorded under Section 183 BNSS has not supported the prosecution story, rather, she has admitted that she was in love relationship with the petitioner and she had accompanied the petitioner willingly and they have solemnized marriage with each other. The petitioner has clean antecedent. On these grounds, petitioner seeks to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, the fact that the victim in her statement recorded under Section 183 before the learned Magistrate, has not supported the prosecution story, rather, she has admitted that she had accompanied the petitioner willingly and they have solemnized marriage with each other. The petitioner has clean antecedent. I am of the opinion that petitioner has, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.

7. The petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of his/her arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/-



(Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District Court where the case is pending, in connection with Narhat P.S. Case No. 312 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail application. If any other case is pending against the petitioner as what has been stated in paragraph no. 3, this order will lose its force automatically.

9. Accordingly, the present application stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

