

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4181 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-403 Year-2024 Thana- SIRDALA District- Nawada

Md. Nasim Ansari @ Mohd. Naseem Ansar @ Md. Nasim @ Md. Nasim
Ansar S/o Md. Mojibul Haque Resident of Village - Sherpur, P.S.-Sirdalla,
District- Nawada,

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Man Mohan Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Parmanand Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 21-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 406, 420 and 120(B) of the
Indian Penal Code read with Section 3 of BPID Act, 2017.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of one case and is in custody since
17.02.2025 and the informant alleges that petitioner had taken
loan of Rs. 4 Lakhs from him in his account, with an assurance
that amount of Rs. 28,000/- shall be paid every month, further
after paying the first installment, the petitioner went missing and
was informed by the petitioner's family members that a case
relating to missing of petitioner has been instituted, but
informant enquired then came to know that petitioner along with



his family members had cheated several people and the amount is more than crore as detailed in the FIR and missing of the petitioner is a ploy to misappropriate money of the innocent people

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is asserted and submitted that no case by family members of the petitioner was instituted with an allegation that petitioner went missing, the said allegation has been alleged only to give seriousness to the case to portray the petitioner as a fraud. It is also submitted that the informant in the FIR has not disclosed the P.S. case number instituted by the family members of the petitioner alleging that he is missing. It is also submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that informant is claiming that he gave a loan amount of Rs. 4 Lakhs and the same has not been returned, as such, the dispute is civil. It is also submitted that informant instead of instituting an FIR ought to have approached the Court of competent civil jurisdiction for recovering his money. It is further submitted that though in the FIR, it is alleged that petitioner cheated several innocent people, but then petitioner has antecedent of only case, but then the said case was instituted



by his agnates in which petitioner was granted bail by the learned District Court. It is also submitted that charges have been framed recently.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Sirdalla P.S. Case No. 403 of 2024.

7. It is made clear that if the learned Trial Court comes to a conclusion that petitioner, after his release, is trying to delay the trial in any manner, in that event the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

