

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5226 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-624 Year-2025 Thana- SIWAN MUFFASIL District- Siwan

1. Manju Devi, Female, aged about 45 years, wife of Rama Shanker Sah.
2. Rama Shanker Sah, Male, aged about 50 years, son of Late Ram Pravesh Sah
3. Ankur Kumar, Male, aged about 25 years.
4. Ankit Kumar, Male, aged about 21 years.
5. Dudh Nath Sah @ Bulet @ Dudhnath Kumar, Male, aged about 21 years.
All 3 to 5 sons of Rama Shanker Sah and all Resident of Village-Barhan Gopal, P.S.- Siwan Muffasil, District- Siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raghav Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Anurag Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH

ORAL ORDER

4 06-04-2026 Heard Mr. Raghav Prasad, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and Mr. Ram Anurag Singh, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in connection with Siwan Muffasil P.S. Case No. 624 of 2025, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 324(2), 88, 303(2), 351(2) and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, petitioners along with other accused persons, had assaulted the informant and her mother causing injury, with an intention to kill.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that petitioners are innocent and they have



falsely been implicated in the present case. The injury sustained by the mother of the informant has been found to be simple in nature while the injury which has been sustained by the informant, the opinion of the doctor is reserved. He further submitted that the story of damaging windows of the house of the informant and snatching of ornaments is false and concocted. He further submitted that the parties are co-sharers (pattidar), and just to grab the land of the petitioners, the informant has dragged the petitioners in the present case. In absence of material evidence collected in course of investigation, the petitioners deserve to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, having perused the allegation made in the FIR, I find that the injury sustained by the mother of the informant has been found to be simple in nature while the injury which has been sustained by the informant, the opinion of the doctor is reserved and the allegation of causing miscarriage to the informant cannot be corroborated. The allegation of damaging the windows of the house of the informant and



snatching of ornaments appears to be false and concocted. The parties are co-sharers (*pattidar*), and just to grab the land of the petitioners, the informant has dragged the petitioners in the present case. I am of the opinion that petitioners have, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.

7. The petitioners, above named, are directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District Court where the case is pending, in connection with Siwan Muffasil P.S. Case No. 624 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail application. If any other case is pending against the petitioners as what has been stated in paragraph no. 3, this order will lose its force automatically.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

