

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4313 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-632 Year-2023 Thana- PATLIPUTRA District- Patna

Vishal Kumar @ Ajay Ray, Son of Mohan Ray, aged about 38 years,
Resident of Bal Kishun Complex, Near Central Bank, Rajeev Nagar, P.S.-
Rajeev Nagar, District- Patna Presently resident at Mohalla - Kurji Balu Par,
PS- Digha, Dist- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Arun Bharti, Advocate Mr. Raghav Kumar, Advocate Mr. Akash Raj, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Ms. Dr. Indihar Kumari, APP Mr. Ratnakar Pandey, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND
MALVIYA**

ORAL ORDER

4 18-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody in a case registered for
the offence punishable under Sections 326, 307, 120B and 302
of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per allegation in the FIR, it is case of contract
killing and the petitioner along with other co-accused persons
came on motorcycles and shot the brother of the informant,
thereafter he died.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. He next



submits that save and except, the confessional statement of co-accused persons, there is no other material on recorded to show the complicity of the petitioner in the alleged occurrence. Petitioner has got twenty two criminal antecedents as stated in para-3 of the petition and he is in custody since 16.09.2023. He next submits that earlier the prayer for bail of this petitioner was rejected by the Apex Court in SLP(Crl.)No.9375 of 2024.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. From perusal of the Status report of learned trial Court dated 07.03.2026, it appears that there are total 13 accused persons facing trial and prosecution has concluded its argument and the defence witnesses are going on and the trial is likely to be concluded within two months, if both sides co-operate, so there is no no fresh ground to reconsider the prayer for bail of this petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

Brajesh Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

