

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 2719 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-102 Year-2025 Thana- Maghopur District- Gopalganj

=====

Mantu Singh @ Bhanu Pratap Rai @ Bhanu Pratap Ray S/o Subhash Ray
Resident of Village- Mahammadpur, Police Station-Madhapur, District-
Gopalganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajesh Ranjan, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Uma Shankar Prasad Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 04-02-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Madhopur P.S. Case No. 102 of 2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 109(1),126(2), 351(2) and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. On the fateful day, while the informant was sitting at his door, in the meanwhile, all the FIR named accused persons, including the petitioner, rushed there and thrashed the informant and his family. The accusation against the petitioner is of causing assault by means of *lathi* over the head of the informant due to which he sustained serious injury, besides there is further allegation against other accused persons of causing assault to the informant and others.



4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that allegedly the present occurrence took place on 03.11.2025 but the present FIR came to be instituted on 08.11.2025, however without there being any plausible explanation for delay. In fact, there was a long standing dispute between the parties which resulted into a free fight leading to some unfortunate injuries to the persons of both the sides. In the said incidence, the petitioner has also sustained serious injury which led to institution of Madhopur P.S. Case No. 103 of 2025 against the informant and others. Copy of the afore noted FIR has been placed on record as Annexure-P/3. So far the injury, which is allegedly sustained to the informant is concerned, the same is found to be simple in nature. To support the aforesaid contention, the injury report has also been placed on record as Annexure-P/2. The petitioner bears fair antecedent and he undertakes that he will fully cooperate in the proceeding of the court.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State vehemently opposed the bail application and submitted that there is specific accusation against the petitioner of causing assault over the vital part; though the informant was fortunate enough that the injury was simple.



6. Having considered the submissions advanced by the learned Advocates for the respective parties and taking note of the genesis of the occurrence, besides the delay in lodging of the FIR as well as the simple injury, apart from the facum of case and counter case, let the petitioner abovenamed be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM XIV, Gopalganj in connection with Madhopur P.S. Case No. 102 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, with the further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Anjani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

