

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1246 of 2026**

=====

Goda Devi @ Savitri Devi@Gado Devi Wife of Upendra Sharma, Resident of  
Village- Gospur, Rajpur, P.S.-Piri Bazar, Block- Suryagarha, District-  
Lakhisarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the General Manager, Eastern Railway, Kolkata.
2. The D.R.M. Eastern Railway, D.R.M. Office, Malda, West Bengal.
3. The State of Bihar, Through Principal Secretary, Land and Revenue  
Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Lakhisarai.
5. The Superintendent of Police, Lakhisarai.
6. The Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Lakhisarai Sadar, District- Lakhisarai.
7. The Circle Officer, Suryagarha Anchal, District- Lakhisarai.
8. The S.H.O., Piri Bazar, Police Station,Lakhisarai

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                |
|----------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Mayank Bilochan, Adv.      |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr. Yogendra Pd. Singh, AAG-07 |
| For resp. nos. 1 & 2 | : | Mr. Mani Shankar Mishra, Adv.  |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**

ORAL ORDER

2      29-01-2026

Heard the parties.

2. The present application has been preferred for the  
following relief(s):

*(i) for issuance of an appropriate writ commanding  
the respondents not to construct the Railways under Pass,  
over the land of petitioner which is Raiyti/Purchase land of  
the petitioner.*

*(ii) for issuance of an appropriate writ restraining  
the respondents not to make any interference in the peaceful  
possession of the petitioner.*

*(iii) for issuance of an appropriate writ  
commanding the respondent to first acquired the land under  
the provision of land acquisition act and pay the  
compensation or vacate the land of the petitioner.*

*(iv) for any other relief or reliefs for which  
petitioner is entitled.*



3. The petitioner has come with a simple prayer to at least take note of the representation that has been preferred before the different respondents including the Railway Authorities/District Magistrate-cum- Collector, Lakhisarai (Annexure- P/2 and P/3 respectively).

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it will suffice if the Collector, Lakhisarai looks into the matter and take a decision after hearing both the petitioner as also railways.

5. The parties including the State and the Railways have no objection.

6. In that background, the writ petition is disposed of allowing the petitioner to approach afresh with all the supporting documents before the Collector, Lakhisarai in next four weeks who shall be noticing the other side including the railways (whose counsel is already present in the Court) and after hearing the parties, shall be disposing of the matter at an earliest taking into account the development that has taken place on the land claimed by the petitioner.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

Vijay Singh/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

