

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2783 of 2026

=====

Nazrana Khan W/o- Sarfaraz Khan, Resident of Kaghzi Mohalla P.S.- Bihar Sharif, District- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Collector, Nalanda.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Nalanda.
4. Sub-Divisional Officer, Bihar Sharif, Nalanda.
5. The Sub Registrar, Registry Office, Bihar Sharif, Nalanda.
6. The Circle Officer, Bihar Sharif.
7. Md. Rashid Ali, Son of Md. Ataullah Bokhari, Resident of Sohdi, Sohsarai, Nalanda.
8. Nadim Akhtar, Son of Lt. Buland Akhtar, Resident of Sabwait, Bihar Sharif, Nalanda.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms. Tetara Kumari, Advocate Mr. Raju Prasad, Advocate Mr. Mithilesh Kumar Upadhyay, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. S.P. Singh, GA 3 Mr. Shiv Kumar, AC to GA 3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 27-02-2026 Heard Ms. Tetara Kumari along with Mr. Raju Prasad and Mr. Mithilesh Kumar Upadhyay, learned counsels appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. S.P. Singh, learned GA 3 along with Mr. Shiv Kumar, learned AC to GA 3 for the State.

2. The petitioner in paragraph no. 1 of the present writ petition has sought *inter alia* following relief(s), which is reproduced hereinafter:-



“That instant writ application has been filed for direction to the authorities to hold an inquiry into the affairs of the state officials who are fraudulently allowing registration and manipulation in the records relating with the land of the petitioner and further it is prayed that appropriate action may be taken against the erring officials including those who are involved in this malpractices in accordance withdraw and/or pass such other order or orders as your Lordship may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. The land in question is situated in Biharsharif Circle situated in Mauza Amarpur, appertaining to Khata No.62 Thana No.324, Plot No.1338, area about 3 Katha. The petitioner claims that he had entered into a contract with the respondent no.8, who committed fraud with the petitioner after taking Rs.70 lac.

4. The petitioner instead of availing remedy before competent civil court has filed the present writ petition. The writ petition is pre-mature.

5. The petitioner, however, if so advised, may avail appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

6. With the above observation/direction, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

chn/-

U			
---	--	--	--

