

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2232 of 2026

1. Manoj Kumar Son of Late Ramji Prasad,
2. Munna Prasad, Son of Late Dhaneshwar Bind @ Dhaneshwar Prasad,
3. Panna Prasad @ Pana Prasad, Son of Late Dhaneshwar Bind @ Dhaneshwar Prasad,
All are resident of Village-Akorhi, P.S. and Anchal-Mohania, District-Kaimur at Bhabua.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Land Acquisition Officer, Resettlement and Rehabilitation Authority, Patna.
3. The Collector cum District Magistrate, Kaimur at Bhabua.
4. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Kaimur.
5. Gobardhan Prasad, Son of Late Ramkrit Bind,
6. Santosh Kumar, Son of Late Haridwar Bind,
7. Ramjas Prasad, Son of Late Chhotelal Bind,
8. Dabloo Kumar, Son of Late Dindeyal Bind,
9. Babloo Kumar, Son of Late Dindeyal Bind,
10. Arti Devi, Wife of Rabindra Ram,
11. Mukhlal Paswan, S/o Late Ramdhari Dusadh,
Sl No.5 to 11 are resident of Village-Akorhi, P.S. and Anchal-Mohania, District-Kaimur at Bhabua.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Aditya Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Aditya Nath Jha, AC to SC 18

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 12-02-2026 Heard Mr. Aditya Kumar Pandey, learned counsel

 appearing on behalf of the petitioners and Mr. Aditya Nath Jha,

 learned AC to SC 18 for the State.

2. Petitioners have *inter alia* prayed for following



reliefs in the paragraphs No.1 of the writ petition:-

“(I) For issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari to quash the order dated 27.06.2023 passed by the District Magistrate, Kaimur in Misc. Case No.76/2019, whereby the application filed by the petitioners for reference under Section 64 of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 (hereinafter referred to as the “RFCTLARR ACT”) was illegally rejected and directed the petitioners to move before the competent authority for getting compensation of the disputed land.

(ii) For issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondent Collector to refer the dispute to the Land Acquisition Resettlement and Rehabilitation Authority (LARRA), Patna. The dispute pertains to the compensation wrongfully paid to Respondent No.10 (Arti Devi) based on a sale deed executed by a person (Respondent No.9) who had no title to the petitioners’ share.

(iii) To direct the Authority to adjudicate that the sale deed dated 11.11.2008, executed by respondent No.10, is void to the extent of the petitioners’ share, and consequently, the compensation paid to respondent no.10 must be recovered/apportioned to the petitioners.

(iv) And for any other relief / reliefs for which the petitioners are found to be entitled in the eye of law.”

3. Considering the nature of relief, as prayed for in the present writ petition, I direct the petitioners to file their individual representation before the District Land Acquisition Officer, Kaimur along with all the documents and land records in respect of their undisputed title over the land in question which was acquired way back in the year 2014. The District Land Acquisition Officer in that case is directed to call for the records from the Circle Officer concerned. The Circle Officer is directed to forthwith produce all the records and give details of



the land of the petitioners, which has been acquired in the year 2014, so that the petitioners can be paid due compensation in accordance with the Right To Fair Compensation And Transparency In Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation And Resettlement Act, 2013. The above exercise is required to be taken within a period of two months from the date of filing of the individual representation by the petitioners.

4. The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Sanjay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

