

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4226 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-249 Year-2023 Thana- SUGAULI District- East Champaran

1. Sunil Kumar Son of Ramchandra Sah Resident of Village - Sugauli Bazar, P.S. - Sugauli, Dist. - East Champaran.
2. Ramchandra Sah Son of Badri Sah Resident of Village - Sugauli Bazar, P.S. - Sugauli, Dist. - East Champaran.
3. Surat Devi Wife of Ramchandra Sah Resident of Village - Sugauli Bazar, P.S. - Sugauli, Dist. - East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kundan Rathore Alias Kundan Kumar, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Jha, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

5 22-06-2026 At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw this anticipatory bail application with regard to petitioner no. 1.

2. Permission is granted.

3. This anticipatory bail application with regard to petitioner no. 1 is, accordingly, dismissed as withdrawn.

4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

5. Petitioner nos. 2 & 3 apprehend arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 498(A), 326, 307, 406/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3/4 of



the Dowry Prohibition Act.

6. Prosecution case, in brief, is that marriage of the daughter of informant was solemnized with co-accused Sunil Kumar in the year 2020. Thereafter, it is alleged that these petitioners, along with other F.I.R. named accused persons, killed the daughter of informant due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry of Rs. 2 lacs and a motorcycle.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioner no. 2 is father-in-law and petitioner no. 3 is mother-in-law of the deceased and they are simply victims of over-implications. Allegation against petitioners is general and omnibus. Petitioners are separate in mess and property and they are not concerned with family affairs of the deceased. Moreover, thrust of accusation is against husband of the deceased. Petitioners claim clean antecedent.

8. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposed the bail application.

9. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail is allowed and it is ordered that let the above named petitioner nos. 2 & 3 in the event of their arrest/surrender before the court below within a period of eight



weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, East Champaran, Motihari in connection with Sugauli P. S. Case No. 249 of 2023, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

10. This anticipatory bail application, accordingly, stands disposed of.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Navya/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

