

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1957 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-119 Year-2025 Thana- PANDARAK District- Patna

=====

Tinku Kumar @ Aatish Kumar S/o Mukesh Poddar @ Mukesh Kumar R/o
Village- Bakhri, Shakarpura, P.S.- Bakhri, District- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Y.C. Verma, Sr. Advocate
	:	Ms. Priyanka Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Rajendra Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 20-01-2026 Heard Mr. Y.C. Verma, learned senior counsel for

the petitioner as well as Mr. Rajendra Singh, learned Additional

Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
04.07.2025 in connection with Pandarak P.S. Case No. 119 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 03.07.2025 for the offences punishable under
Sections 303(2), 317(2), 316(2), 318(4) of the Bharatiya Nyay
Sanhita, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, the informant
alleged that the petitioner fraudulently withdrawn Rs. 20,000/-
from his account by exchanging ATM cards.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the



present case. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is false and fabricated. From bare perusal of the FIR it appears that the petitioner has been made accused merely on the basis of suspicion and some of the articles have been recovered from the possession of the petitioner and he has confessed his guilt in the present occurrence. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge-sheet and the petitioner is in custody since 04.07.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries seven criminal antecedents of similar nature other than the present one.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and period of custody of the petitioner, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM, Barh, Patna in connection with Pandarak P.S. Case No. 119 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and



on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

