

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2763 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-45 Year-2024 Thana- HARSIDHI District- East Champaran

Nagendra Manjhi S/O Gyani Manjhi Resident of village- Sariswa (Oraiya),
P.S.- Harsidhi, Dist.- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Abhishek Kumar
		Ms. Harsha Shashwat
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Ram Bilash Roy Raman

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 21-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 302, 201 and 120B of the Indian Penal Code.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 05.07.2025 and is a person with clean antecedent. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that the informant alleges that on 28.01.2024 at about 5.00 P.M., the petitioner along with Idu @ Saif @ Saif Ali and two unknown persons came and took her son Sameer Alam with them, thereafter the son of the informant did not return back till night,



thereafter the informant and his family members started searching and during course of search, they found the dead body of Sameer near Jharwa Dariyapur in a bush, thus alleges that her son was killed by the accused persons including the petitioner.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Idu @ Sai @ Saif Ali had approached this Court seeking regular bail by filing Cr. Misc. No.34841 of 2024 and the same came to be allowed by an order dated 20.09.2024. It is next submitted that case of the petitioner is akin to the case of Saif Ali, as such, based on parity the petitioner be also released on bail. It is also submitted that if petitioner is granted the privilege of bail, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the trial to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the bail application, but then, is not in a position to rebut the submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner after going through the order dated 20.09.2024 in Cr. Misc. No.34841 of 2024 that the case of the petitioner is akin to the case of Saif Ali.

6. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the order dated 20.09.2024 in Cr. Misc. No.34841 of 2024 (Idu @ Saif @ Saif Ali vs. the State of Bihar), the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on



bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Harsidhi P. S. Case No.45 of 2024.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. However, it is made clear that if the learned trial court comes to a conclusion that petitioner after his release is trying the delay the trial in any manner, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after recording reasons.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

