

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6368 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-887 Year-2023 Thana- SAHARSA COMPLAINT CASE
District- Saharsa

-
1. Gurudev Mehta @ Gurudeo Mehta S/O Late Bhola Mehta R/O Village-Andauli, P.S- Saur Bazar, Distt.- Saharsa.
 2. Budhadeo Mehta @ Budhdeo Mehta S/O Late Bhola Mehta R/O Village-Andauli, P.S- Saur Bazar, Distt.- Saharsa.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Vijay Kumar Mehta S/O Kusheshwar Prasad Mehta R/O Village-Madhepura, P.S- Saur Bazar, Distt.- Saharsa.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Amarnath Jha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Jharkhandi Upadhyay, APP
For the O.P.no.2	:	Mr. Diwakar Prasad Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

5 29-04-2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioners in this application pray for bail apprehending their arrest in connection with Complaint Case no.887 of 2023 registered for the offence punishable under sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120B, 323 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code to which cognizance has been taken under sections 420, 465, 468 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the complaint, the *kewala* purportedly executed by the complainant's father was not executed by him but has been forged by the petitioners. No such *kewala* was executed by the complainant's father.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the case. From the contents of the complaint, it would transpire that the matter is one of civil dispute between the parties. The matter has already been pursued by the complainant before the authorities concerned where the mutation in favour of the petitioners have been cancelled. The petitioners undertake to cooperate in the investigation/case.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing for the opposite party no.2-complainant. It is submitted in reference to the documents brought on record in the counter affidavit that while mutation was obtained by the petitioners on the basis of a registered sale deed no.12605 dated 5.12.2005, the said registered sale deed has been executed by some unknown person and not the father of the complainant. It is a clear case of forgery committed by the petitioners which has resulted in cancellation of the order of mutation in their favour. The application for anticipatory bail be rejected.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the nature of allegation against the petitioners in the complaint and the complaint relating to land



dispute between the parties, it is directed that both the petitioners, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks, be released on anticipatory bail in connection with Complaint Case no.887 of 2023 on each of them furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Saharsa.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Saurabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

