

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4162 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-268 Year-2025 Thana- SONO District- Jamui

-
1. Prakash Singh S/O Nagina Singh R/O Village- Sono, P.S.- Sono, District- Jamui
 2. Reena Devi @ Rina Devi W/O Prakash Singh R/O Village- Sono, P.S.- Sono, District- Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Niranjan Parihar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kalyan Shankar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 28-01-2026 Heard Mr. Niranjan Parihar, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. Kalyan Shankar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail, who are in custody since 16.09.2025 in connection with Sono P.S. Case No. 268 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 15.09.2025 for the offences punishable under Section 80 and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, petitioners and other co-accused persons are alleged to have killed the daughter of the informant due to non-fulfillment of demand of Rs. 5 Lakhs as a dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the



petitioners have clean antecedent and they have falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that petitioners have been made accused in this case merely on the ground that petitioner no. 1 is father-in-law and petitioner no. 2 is mother-in-law of the deceased. From a bare perusal of FIR, it appears that the informant is not the eye-witness of the alleged occurrence and there is no specific allegation of any assault, overt act or demand of dowry attributed against the petitioners rather there is general and omnibus allegation against all the accused persons including these petitioners and the husband of the deceased, namely, Amarjeet Singh who happens to be son of the petitioners is in judicial custody since 16.09.2025 and the police after investigation, submitted chargesheet against the petitioners and the petitioners are in custody since 16.09.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that petitioners have clean antecedent and there is no specific allegation of any assault, overt act or demand of dowry attributed against the petitioners in the FIR, let the petitioners, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each



with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M. IInd, Jamui in connection with Sono P.S. Case No. 268 of 2025, with the following conditions:

i. Petitioners shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioners tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioners. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ranjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

