

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3511 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-235 Year-2025 Thana- MIRGANJ District- Gopalganj

=====

Sahid Raja @ Guddu @ Shahid Raja S/O Sharif Mansuri @ Mahammad Sarif
Permanent Resident of Village- Murarpatti, P.S- Nautan, Distt- Siwan at
present Resident of village- Bhojhata, P.S.- Mirganj, Distt.- Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. X W/O X R/O Ekdanga Bazar, P.S- Mirganj, Dist.- Gopalganj.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Amresh Kumar Singh, Advocate
	:	Mr. Dewanand Tiwari, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Anil Kumar, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Lokesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 09-04-2026 Heard Mr. Amresh Kumar Singh, learned counsel

for the petitioner, Mr. Anil Kumar, learned A.P.P. for the State

and Mr. Lokesh Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the Informant.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
04.08.2025 in connection with Mirganj P.S. Case No. 235 of
2025, FIR dated 11.05.2025 registered for the offence under
Section 137(2), 96, 70(2) of the Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023
and Section 4 and 8 of POCSO Act.



3. According to the prosecution case, the informant alleged that on the 10.05.2025 at about 10 P.M, the petitioner along with other co-accused persons allured and took away her minor daughter along with some jewellery.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offences as alleged in the F.I.R and the petitioner is rotting in judicial custody since 04.08.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the Informant, on the other hand, have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submit that statement of the victim was recorded under Section 183 BNSS in which she has fully supported the case of the prosecution and apart from that the learned Trial Court has assessed the age of the victim to be about 15 years which suggest that at the time of occurrence she was minor and petitioner carries one criminal antecedent.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case as well as nature of allegation supported by statement of the victim, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Mirganj P.S. Case No. 235 of 2025, pending in



the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-VIth-cum-Special Judge, POCSO, Gopalganj.

7. Prayer is refused.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

