

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4175 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1046 Year-2025 Thana- JAHANABAD District- Jehanabad

Ramjee Sao @ Ramjee Kumar Son of Late Krishna Sao R/o Hari Sah Lane,
P.S. - Jehanabad, District - Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr.Patanjali Rishi
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr.Binod Kumar
For the Informant :	Mr. Amrendra Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEEN KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 05-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Jehanabad P.S. Case No. 1046 of 2025 dated 09.10.2025,
registered for the offence under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109,
118(1), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and co-
accused Lakshman Sao are alleged to have inflicted knife blows
on Sujal Kumar, son of the informant as a result of which he
became unconscious and fell on the ground, thereafter he was
taken to Sadar Hospital, Jehanabad, and from there he was
referred to P.M.C.H., Patna for further treatment.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has
submitted that the parties are own brothers and a title suit is



pending between them as regards partition of the ancestral property. He has further submitted that there appears to be no injury report of the injured persons on record and there are only prescription of their treatment. There is case and counter case between the parties. It has been submitted that the informant side were the aggressor. The petitioner is in custody since 11.10.2025 and he is accused in one another case which was lodged by the prosecution party itself in which he is on bail. It has lastly been submitted that charge-sheet has been filed in this case and there is no allegation of tampering and similarly situated co-accused Lakshman Sao has been granted the privilege of bail by this Court by order dated 28.04.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 8018 of 2026.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the informant that they are trying to disturb the peace and tranquility whenever the informant side goes to the property they are threatened and are also being assaulted.

6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the



case, let the, above named, petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jehanabad, in connection with Jehanabad P.S. Case No. 1046 of 2025.

(Praveen Kumar, J)

Pawan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

