

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.650 of 2025

=====

Devmuni Paswan son of Late Kalpu Ram, resident of village- Karmahi, P.O.-
Biseni Kala, P.S.- Rajpur, District- Rohtas at Sasaram.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate- cum Collector, Rohtas at Sasaram.
3. Sub-Divisional Police Officer, Bikramganj, Rohtas.
4. Circle Officer, Rajpur, Rohtas.
5. Police Inspector, Nasriganj Circle, Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ram Hriday Prasad, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Government Advocate (07)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

3 18-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for the
following reliefs :-

*“For issuance of appropriate writ, order
or direction to quash the order of D.M., Rohtas,
Sasaram dated 14.01.2021 (Annexure-P-11) by
which claim of petitioner for compassionate
appointment has been rejected on the ground of not
giving adoption deed issued by Civil Court. It is
further prayed to direct the respondents authorities
to consider the case of petitioner for
compassionate appointment on the ground of death
of his adopted father Late Kalpu Ram during his
service tenure and for grant of all consequential
benefits.”*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vide



Memo No. 2 dated 14.01.2021, the Compassionate Committee rejected the claim of the petitioner on compassionate grounds, indicating that the registered documents from the civil court be provided. Counsel further submits that a series of documents have been annexed by the petitioner to the writ petition, on the basis of which a decision may be taken.

4. Learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, submits that none of the documents is a registered one, they are merely declarations either in the form of an affidavit or in the form of an agreement.

5. This Court, upon perusal of the documents, without deciding the case on merits, directs the petitioner to move before the Principal Judge, Family Court, seeking a declaration with regard to the petitioner that he is the adopted son of the deceased employee, for which the petitioner is claiming compassionate appointment.

6. With this direction, the writ petition is disposed of. If the petitioner succeeds, then the authorities shall consider his case afresh.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

Aman Kumar/-

U			
---	--	--	--

