

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1987 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-87 Year-2018 Thana- PAKRIDAYAL District- East Champaran

1. Rambabu Sah Son of Jainarayan Sah Resident of Village - Mathiya, P.S. - Pakridayal, District - East Champaran.
2. Jainarayan Sah Son of Late Jamadar Sah R/o Village - Mathiya, P.S. - Pakridayal, Dist. - East Champaran.
3. Vishwanath Sah Son of Late Sri Bhagwan Sah Resident of Village - Mathiya, P.S. - Pakridayal, District - East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Karandeep Kumar, Advocate Mr. Kaushlendra Kr. Sinha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Binod Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

3 07-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Pakridayal P.S. Case No. 87 of 2018, instituted under Sections 147, 341, 323, 324, 325, 354, 307, 504, 506, 379 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, on the date of occurrence due to some dispute between the children petitioners along with F.I.R. named accused persons came and Rambabu Sah gave *Farsa* blow on the head of wife of informant causing injury. Petitioner Nos. 2 and 3 assaulted the daughters of the



informant. The accused persons also snatched the golden chain and ear ring from the daughter of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. After investigation, Police has filed charge-sheet under the bailable Sections, however, the Court concerned has taken cognizance under Section 307 of the I.P.C. also along with other Sections of the I.P.C. Earlier, petitioners were granted benefit of Section 41(1) Cr.P.C. Petitioner No. 2 is full brother of the informant. It is submitted that due to some dispute relating to children, informant has filed the case. Later on, the informant filed compromise petition before the Court concerned. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent. They undertake to co-operate in the investigation and trial.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submission of learned counsel for the parties, fair criminal antecedent of the petitioners and subsequent development including compromise taken place between the parties who are agnates, in the event of arrest or surrender before the Court below within six weeks from today, the petitioners be released



on bail upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, East Champaran at Motihari in connection with Pakridayal P.S. Case No. 87 of 2018, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482 (2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita (B.N.S.S.), 2023.

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)

rakhi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

