

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3888 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-676 Year-2025 Thana- SASARAM NAGAR District- Rohtas

Indal Ram S/o Ram Bahal Ram Resident of Village- Belauri (Barej), P.S.-
Mohania, District- Kaimur at Bhabua

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Tribhuwan Narayan, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 09-04-2026 Heard Mr. Tribhuwan Narayan, learned counsel for
the petitioner, and Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, learned APP for
the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
31.08.2025, in connection with Sasaram (N) P.S. Case No. 676
of 2025, F.I.R. dated 23.08.2025 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 109(2), 132, 127(2), 3(5) of the
B.N.S. and Section 25(1-b)(a), 26, 27, 35 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the
informant received a secret information that a teacher, namely,
Dilip Kumar was kidnapped and kept at the house of some
Akhilesh kumar at place called Takia. After receiving of
information, Superintendent of Police, Rohtas along with other
police personnel reached at the place where Dilip Kumar was



kept. The kidnappers after seeing the police opened fired. In defence, police party also opened fire leading to gunshot injury to two culprits, namely, Prabhat Kumar @ Sonu and one Suresh Ram. The police team also arrested two other kidnappers, namely, Ramashish Sharma and one Indal Ram (petitioner). All the apprehended accused persons were sent to the Sadar Hospital, Sasaram for medical aid. The said Dilip Kumar was recovered from the house of Akhilesh Kumar. The F.S.L. team reached the place of occurrence and country made pistols, fired cartridges and other things were recovered.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. He further submits that although the petitioner is named in the F.I.R. but there is no specific allegation against the petitioner.

5. Learned APP for the State, on the other hand, vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the name of the petitioner has been transpired on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused person, namely, Prabhat Kumar and apart from that it has come during



investigation that the petitioner was involved in the present crime in question and similarly situated co-accused persons, namely Ramashish Sharma and Prabhat Kumar who have confessed the name of the petitioner, regular bail of the petitioners have been rejected by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 24.02.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 3886 of 2026 and Cr. Misc. No. 5445 of 2026.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Sasaram (N) P.S. Case No. 676 of 2025 pending in the Court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtas at Sasaram.

7. Prayer is refused.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U			
---	--	--	--

