

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3562 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-424 Year-2024 Thana- GHOSI District- Jehanabad

Radhe Krishna Raman Son of Baliram Prasad, Resident of Village- Gangapur,
P.S.- Ghosi, District- Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shivendra Prasad, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Ataur Rahman, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

4 04-05-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Ghosi P.S. Case No.424 of 2024 instituted under Sections
126(2), 115(2), 352, 351(2), 303(2) & 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023
and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant has
alleged that when she alongwith her husband were going to their
parents house, in the meantime, the petitioner alongwith co-
accused Raj Kishor Prasad surrounded them and started
assaulting. On raising alarm, the father-in-law and cousin father-
in-law came to rescue them but they were also assaulted by the
petitioner and co-accused Raj Kishore Prasad by means of pistol
causing injury to them. It is alleged that accused persons took



away gold chain and other ornaments of informant including cash of Rs.4,000/-.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that although, there is specific allegation against the petitioner that he alongwith co-accused Raj Kishor Prasad had fired on the injured Munna Prasad but from the injury report, it is clear that there is no gun shot injury. Learned counsel submits that the injuries to the injured are simple in nature. He further submits that for the occurrence taken place on 17.08.2024, the family member of the petitioner side had lodged the case against the informant side and to save their skin from that, the present F.I.R. has been lodged with false allegation against the petitioner. Learned counsel submits that petitioner is a man of clean antecedent and he undertakes to cooperate in the investigation and trial of the case.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions of learned counsel for the parties and the nature of allegation leveled against the petitioner as well as his clean antecedent, in the event of arrest or surrender before the



Court below within six weeks from today, the petitioner be released on bail upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount to each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jehanabad/ concerned Court in connection with Ghosi P.S. Case No.424 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)

Ritik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

