

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6883 of 2021**

Nilima Kumari @ Nirmala Kumari W/o Sri Raj Kishore Singh Resident of  
Tilha, Kalibari, P.S.- Civil Lines, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Departmen, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Primary Secretary, Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, ICDS, Bihar, Patna.
4. Divisional Commissioner, Magudh Devision, Gaya.
5. District Magistrate, Aurangabad.
6. CDPO, Daudnagar, Aurangabad.
7. CDPO, Navinagar, Aurangabad.
8. CDPO, Bodh Gaya, Gaya.
9. CDPO, Madanpur, Aurangabad.
10. CDPO, Hisua, Nalanda.
11. CDPO, Ghosi, Jehanabad.
12. Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                              |
|----------------------|---|------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | None.                        |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Md. Raisul Haque, SC-10      |
| For the AG, Bihar    | : | Mr. Ram Kinkar Choubey, Adv. |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

12    06-07-2026                      Despite repeated calls, none appears on behalf of the  
petitioner.

2. Learned Advocate for the State as well as learned  
Advocate for the Accountant General, Bihar, are present.

3. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking a  
direction upon the respondents to ensure payment of all post-  
retiral dues and to grant pensionary benefits, including fixation



of pension, strictly in accordance with the applicable rules and governing provisions of law.

4. It is pertinent to note that, while the petitioner was in service, he was dismissed from service on 05.11.2014. During the period of dismissal, he attained the age of superannuation. However, pursuant to the intervention of this Court, the petitioner has been extended all consequential service benefits, including pension and other post-retiral dues.

5. A counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of respondent no. 8, wherein it has been stated that all admissible post-retiral benefits have already been paid to the petitioner. It has further been stated that the differential amount payable for the petitioner's suspension period is presently under process and shall be paid at the earliest.

6. Another counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of respondent nos. 5, 6, 7 and 9. It has been stated therein that the admissible salary for the relevant period of the petitioner's service has already been processed and forwarded for payment. A photocopy of the statement reflecting payment of the salary for the remaining period has also been brought on record as Annexure-A to the said counter affidavit.

7. Having considered the submissions advanced and



upon perusal of the averments made in the counter affidavits filed on behalf of respondent no. 8 as well as respondent nos. 5, 6, 7 and 9, this Court is of the considered view that the substantial grievance raised by the petitioner stands redressed.

8. However, if the petitioner still has any surviving grievance with regard to arrears of salary or any other admissible dues for any period, she shall be at liberty to approach the competent authority for redressal of the same, in accordance with law.

9. With the aforesaid observations and liberty, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

**(Harish Kumar, J)**

rohit/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

