

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3245 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-692 Year-2024 Thana- PATNA GRP CASE District- Patna

Md. Adib Alam S/O Md. Naiem Resident of Milki Mohalla Gurhatta Gali,
P.S- Arrah Town, Dist- Bhojpur, Bihar-802301

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Vigilance State of Bihar at Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md Ataul Haque, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Veena Kumari Jaiswal, A.P.P.
For the O.P. No. 2 : Mr. Anil Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 30-01-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of
the opposite party no. 2.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a), 41, 44, 51 and 52 of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act, 2018, Sections 199, 212, 229(2) and 61(2) of the BNS as well as Sections 7 and 13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of recovery of 30.75 litres of liquor from two trolley bags from platform no. 1 of Rajendra Nagar Railway Station.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was not arrested from the spot, as such, nothing was recovered from his conscious possession. It is further submitted that petitioner came to be implicated based on the fact that he received an amount of Rs.30,000/- in his account through UPI from one Pallavi Kumari and thereafter petitioner transferred the said amount in the account of one Paskal Ekka. It is next submitted that it absolutely defies all logic, wisdom and reasonable administrative behavior that had the petitioner been involved in such type of occurrence then the petitioner would never have accepted the amount credited in his account through UPI from Pallavi Kumari nor would have transferred the same to Paskal Ekka knowing that they are involved in such obnoxious activity. It is also submitted that petitioner is a Supervisor with Tejas Rajdhani Coach.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and Mr. Anil Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the opposite party no. 2 opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on



anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.1,000/- (Rupees One Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with GRP Rajendra Nagar P.S. Case No. 692 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

