

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4690 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-176 Year-2025 Thana- TARAPUR District- Munger

=====

Karambir Bind, Son of Vinod Bind, Resident Of Village- Launa, PS- Tarapur,
Dist- Munger

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjiv Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Surendra Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 02-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Tarapur P.S. Case No. 176 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 190, 191(2), 303(2) and 352 of B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the petitioner has assaulted Bimal Bind with *Kulhari* thrice. It is further alleged that Deepak Kumar was assaulted by Nitish Bind with iron rod.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. There is a counter version of this case which was filed



prior to this case. It has further been submitted that petitioner and informant are neighbours and there has been free fight between them. During free fight, both sides have received injuries. From perusal of the order of learned trial Court it is clear that learned trial Court has relied on the discharge summary placed by informant which goes to show that Bimal has suffered “depressed fracture of left parietal bone fragment protruding inside cranial cavity about 14 mm”. It has also been submitted that in this case, charge-sheet was filed without the injury report and learned trial Court has relied only on the discharge summary filed by the informant. The I.O. has not collected the injury report from the place where Bimal was treated. It has also been submitted that in any view, it is a matter of free fight and both sides have received injuries. Petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 29.08.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the application for bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties



of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M.,
Munger in connection with Tarapur P.S. Case No. 176 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

