

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.890 of 2026

=====

Munni Devi Wife of Sipahi Sharma, Resident of Village- Ramgarh, P.S.-
Ramgarh, District- Kaimur at Bhabhua.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Environment and Forest Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Chief Conservator of Forest-cum-Chairman of State Level Committee for Wood- based Industries, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Licensing Officer-Cum-Divisional Forest Officer, Kaimur Forest Division, Bhabhua.
4. The Forester, Mohania, P.S.- Mohania, District- Kaimur at Bhabhua.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vinay Mistry, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Government Pleader (23)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 02-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

2. The petitioner has moved the Court for the
following reliefs:

*“For issuance of a writ in the nature
of mandamus directing the Principal Chief
Conservator of Forest cum Chairman, State
Level Committee For Wood-Based Industries,
Bihar (Respondent No.2) to dispose of the
representation dated 15.12.2025 (Annexure-P-
3) filed by the petitioner to include her saw mill
in the Final Seniority List SO that the
"Application of License" dated 20.09.2002
could be considered for grant of new saw mill
license under the Bihar Saw Mills (Regulation)
Act, 1990 read with Bihar Wood-Based
Industries (Establishment & Regulation) Act,
2025.”*



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had preferred a representation dated 15.12.2025 before the Chairman, State Level Committee for Wood-Based Industries, Bihar.

4. In view of the above, this application is disposed of with a direction to the respondent no. 2 i.e., Principal Chief Conservator of Forest-cum- Chairman, State Level Committee for Wood-Based Industries, Bihar, Patna, to dispose of the objection/representation dated 15.12.2025 filed by the petitioner before him and pass a reasoned order in accordance with law.

5. It is clarified that this Court has not gone into the merit of the case and is only directing for disposal of the representation.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U		T	
---	--	---	--

