IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1309 of 2025

CNR No. BRHC010013942025

==========

Shashi Bhushan Prasad S/o Late Ram Narayan Singh, At Sadalli Chak, P.S. Gopalpur, District- Patna.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through Principal Secretary, Transport Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. Regional Transport Authority, Patna through its Chairman-cum-Divisional Commissioner, Patna, At- Commissioners Compound, West Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
3. Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Patna, At- Commissioners Compound, West Gandhi Maidan, Patna.

... ... Respondent/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Shiopujan Singh, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ram Anurag Singh, SC-37

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE GIRIJISH KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

19 27-10-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State.

2. With the consent of both the parties, this writ application is being disposed of at the admission stage itself.

3. This writ application has been filed for the following reliefs:-

"(I).....For direction or directions in the nature

WEB COPY

of mandamus directing upon the Respondents No.2 to renew the permanent permit No.12/05 new formulated (Route No. 673) for the route Mithapur Bus stand to Agamkuan via Karbighaiya-Rajendra Nagar, N.M.C.H-6 up and 6 Down trips daily on Bus No. BR-1AP-9437 (Diesel) Mini Bus and further direction may be also issued to replace the C.N.G. Mini Bus No. BR-01GP-8383 of 2012 model in place of Bus No. BR-1AP-9437."

4. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already filed the representation dated 04.09.2020 (Annexure-P/2 series), addressing to the respondent no. 2 i.e. The Regional Transport Authority, Patna with respect to his claim as raised in this writ application which is pending consideration before the respondent no. 2 i.e. The Regional Transport Authority, Patna and, therefore, appropriate direction may be issued to dispose of the same within a fixed time frame.

5. To the aforesaid prayer being made by learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel appearing for respondent does not have any objection.

6. Considering the limited nature of prayer being made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this writ application is being disposed of by way of giving a direction to the

WEB COPY

respondent no.2 i.e. The Regional Transport Authority, Patna to dispose of the same within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the order.

7. Needless to emphasize that the final order which shall be passed by the respondent no. 2 i.e. The Regional Transport Authority, Patna should be a reasoned and speaking order.

8. This Court has not expressed any opinion on the merit of the case including maintainability of the writ application.

9. With the aforesaid observation/direction and liberty granted, this writ application is disposed of.

10. All pending interlocutory application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of.

(Girijish Kumar, J)

aman/-

U			

WEB COPY