

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.89 of 2022

=====

Sulekha Kumari W/O Late Pankaj Kumar R/O Village- Ahiyasa, P.O.-
Mohiuddinpur, P.S.- Ghosi, District- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Bihar, Patna
3. The Director of General of Police, Bihar, Patna
4. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Magadh Division, Gaya
5. The Superintendent of Police, Jehanabad
6. The Officer In-Charge, Ghosi P.S., Jehanabad
7. South Bihar Power Distribution Company Ltd. (SBPDCL) through its
Managing Director, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna,
8. The Executive Engineer, SBPDCL, Jehanabad

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Sheo Shankar Prasad, SC-8

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

13 30-03-2026 The petitioner is aggrieved with the legal course taken
by the police authorities after death of her husband.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as
per view of the petitioner, her husband died of electrocution on
account of criminal negligence of one Binod Mahto. However,
the concerned police officer has attempted to give it colour of
natural death on account of electrocution. However, during
course of writ petition, an F.I.R. has been lodged against the
person who, as per opinion of the petitioner, is involved in the



commission of the alleged offence. It is also informed by learned counsel for the petitioner that even departmental proceeding has been initiated against the police officer, who has tried to give colour of natural death to the offence committed against the husband of the petitioner. Hence, the present petition has become infructuous.

3. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed as infructuous.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

shoaib/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

